

Course Specifications



Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

The National Commission for Academic Accreditation & Assessment

Course Specification

Training 14023902-2



Course Specification

Institution	Umm Al Qura University	Date of Report: 07-1437 / 04-2016		
College/Depa College/Depa	artment ege of Computers and Information Systems			
Information Systems Department				

A. Course Identification and General Information

1. Course title and code:			
Training			
	1402	3902-2	
2. Credit hours	•	1.4	
2. Due sugge (a) in subject the second is offense		edits	
3. Program(s) in which the course is offere		s, Bachelor of Science	
4. Name of faculty member responsible for			
		an Sallay	
5. Level/year at which this course is offered		v	
Year 3 after		ratory, summer term	
6. Pre-requisites for this course (if any)			
	1-2 Prof	essional Seminars	
7. Co-requisites for this course (if any)			
8. Location if not on main campus:	.		
Delivered in the four locations where the In		on Systems BSc 1s given:	
- Al Abidiyya main campus boys sec			
- Al Zahir main campus girls section	,		
- Al Qunfuda Boys section,			
Al Qunfuda Girls section.9. Mode of Instruction (mark all that apply))		
9. Wode of instruction (mark an that appry)		
a. Traditional classroom	X	What percentage?	100%
b. Blended (traditional and online) What percentage?			
c. e-learning What percentage?			
d. Correspondence What percentage?			
f. Other		What percentage?	
Comments:			



B Objectives

1. What is the main purpose for this course?

This training experience is intended to complement the student's academic plan of study and help prepare him for his future role as a professional engineer. The prospective employer should provide the summer training office in the faculty of the training plan for approval before registration. The training period is 45 days. The faculty assigns an academic staff as an on field supervisor to visit and evaluate the students in the training venues. To assess the student, student is required to submit a report showing his summer training experience and the knowledge gained. The summer training office in the faculty carries out the rubrics assessment based on training report, employer evaluation and on field supervisor evaluation.

The subject of training must be related to the activities of a software or IS developer, designer or database, server, cloud, ERP administrator or any other advanced tasks.

2. Briefly describe any plans for developing and improving the course that are being implemented. (e.g. increased use of IT or web based reference material, changes in content as a result of new research in the field)

An adaptation can be done when reviewing the program.

C. Course Description (Note: General description in the form to be used for the Bulletin or handbook should be attached)

1 Topics to be Covered		
List of Topics	No of Weeks	Contacthours per week
N/A		



2. Course components (total contact hours and credits per semester):						
	Lecture	Tutorial	Laboratory	Practical	Other:	Total
Contact Hours				45 days		45 days
Credit				2 credits		2 credits

3. Additional private study/learning hours expected for students per week.

0

4. Course Learning Outcomes in NQF Domains of Learning and Alignment with Assessment Methods and Teaching Strategy

Course Learning Outcomes, Assessment Methods, and Teaching Strategy work together and are aligned. They are joined together as one, coherent, unity that collectively articulate a consistent agreement between student learning, assessment, and teaching.

The *National Qualification Framework* provides five learning domains. Course learning outcomes are required. Normally a course has should not exceed eight learning outcomes which align with one or more of the five learning domains. Some courses have one or more program learning outcomes integrated into the course learning outcomes to demonstrate program learning outcome alignment. The program learning outcome matrix map identifies which program learning outcomes are incorporated into specific courses.

On the table below are the five NQF Learning Domains, numbered in the left column.

First, insert the suitable and measurable course learning outcomes required in the appropriate learning domains (see suggestions below the table). **Second**, insert supporting teaching strategies that fit and align with the assessment methods and intended learning outcomes. **Third**, insert appropriate assessment methods that accurately measure and evaluate the learning outcome. Each course learning outcomes, assessment method, and teaching strategy ought to reasonably fit and flow together as an integrated learning and teaching process. **Fourth**, if any program learning outcomes are included in the course learning outcomes, place the *@* symbol next to it.

Every course is not required to include learning outcomes from each domain.



	NQF Learning Domains And Course Learning Outcomes	Course Teaching Strategies	Course Assessment Methods	
1.0	Knowledge			
1.1	Ability to associate the knowledge acquired during the student's academic plan of study to real-world-problems.	N/A	Field supervisor report Academic mentor report Student report and	
1.2	Explore the enterprise environment, needs, and limitations.		presentation	
2.0	Cognitive Skills			
2.1	Ability to identify requirements for an appropriate and efficient solution of a real-world-problem in the presence of different technical limitations.	N/A	Field supervisor report Academic mentor report Student report and presentation	
2.2	Ability to identify clear view of objectives and constraints and work effectively.			
2.3	Ability to accommodate with existing solutions in response to change in needs or limitations.			
3.0	Interpersonal Skills & Responsibility		-	
3.1	Having the independence sense by acquiring new techniques with minimal supervision.	N/A	Field supervisor report Academic mentor report Student report and	
3.2	Learning professional and ethical responsibility		presentation	
4.0	Communication, Information Technology, Numer	ical		
4.1	Ability to communicate with many people in the practical field.	N/A	Field supervisor report Student report and presentation	
5.0	Psychomotor			
5.1	N/A			

Suggested Guidelines for Learning Outcome Verb, Assessment, and Teaching

NQF Learning Domains	Suggested Verbs		
Knowledge	list, name, record, define, label, outline, state, describe, recall, memorize reproduce, recognize, record, tell, write		
Cognitive Skills	estimate, explain, summarize, write, compare, contrast, diagram, subdivide, differentiate, criticize, calculate, analyze, compose, develop, create, prepare, reconstruct, reorganize, summarize, explain, predict,		



	justify, rate, evaluate, plan, design, measure, judge, justify, interpret, appraise
Interpersonal Skills & Responsibility	demonstrate, judge, choose, illustrate, modify, show, use, appraise, evaluate, justify, analyze, question, and write
Communication, Information Technology, Numerical	demonstrate, calculate, illustrate, interpret, research, question, operate, appraise, evaluate, assess, and criticize
Psychomotor	demonstrate, show, illustrate, perform, dramatize, employ, manipulate, operate, prepare, produce, draw, diagram, examine, construct, assemble, experiment, and reconstruct



Suggested *verbs not to use* when writing measurable and assessable learning outcomes are as follows:

Consider	Maximize	Continue	Review	Ensure	Enlarge	Understand
Maintain	Reflect	Examine	Strengthen	Explore	Encourage	Deepen

Some of these verbs can be used if tied to specific actions or quantification. Suggested assessment methods and teaching strategies are:

According to research and best practices, multiple and continuous assessment methods are required to verify student learning. Current trends incorporate a wide range of rubric assessment tools; including web-based student performance systems that apply rubrics, benchmarks, KPIs, and analysis. Rubrics are especially helpful for qualitative evaluation. Differentiated assessment strategies include: exams, portfolios, long and short essays, log books, analytical reports, individual and group presentations, posters, journals, case studies, lab manuals, video analysis, group reports, lab reports, debates, speeches, learning logs, peer evaluations, self-evaluations, videos, graphs, dramatic performances, tables, demonstrations, graphic organizers, discussion forums, interviews, learning contracts, antidotal notes, artwork, KWL charts, and concept mapping.

Differentiated teaching strategies should be selected to align with the curriculum taught, the needs of students, and the intended learning outcomes. Teaching methods include: lecture, debate, small group work, whole group and small group discussion, research activities, lab demonstrations, projects, debates, role playing, case studies, guest speakers, memorization, humor, individual presentation, brainstorming, and a wide variety of hands-on student learning activities.

5. Schedule of Assessment Tasks for Students During the Semester Assessment task (eg. essay, test, group project, examination Week due Assessment Proportion of Final Assessment etc.) 40% 1 Field supervisor report evaluation Final 2 Final 40% Student report 3 Student presentation Final 20%

D. Student Support

1. Arrangements for availability of teaching staff for individual student consultations and academic advice. (include amount of time teaching staff are expected to be available each week)

E Learning Resources

1. Required Text(s):



None

2. Essential References

3- Recommended Books and Reference Material (Journals, Reports, etc) (Attach List)

4-.Electronic Materials, Web Sites etc

5- Other learning material such as computer-based programs/CD, professional standards/regulations

F. Facilities Required

Indicate requirements for the course including size of classrooms and laboratories (ie number of seats in classrooms and laboratories, extent of computer access etc.)

1. Accommodation (Lecture rooms, laboratories, etc.)

2. Computing resources

3. Other resources (specify --eg. If specific laboratory equipment is required, list requirements or attach list)

G Course Evaluation and Improvement Processes

1 Strategies for Obtaining Student Feedback on Effectiveness of Teaching

N/A

2 Other Strategies for Evaluation of Teaching by the Instructor or by the Department

N/A

3 Processes for Improvement of Teaching

N/A

۶ ش

3. Processes for Verifying Standards of Student Achievement (eg. check marking by an independent faculty member of a sample of student work, periodic exchange and remarking of a sample of assignments with a faculty member in another institution)

• Upon student request, his/her work might be remarked by another faculty member within the department.

5 Describe the planning arrangements for periodically reviewing course effectiveness and planning for improvement. $N\!/\!A$

Faculty or Teaching Staff:				
Signature:	Date Report Completed:			
Received by:	Dean/Department Head: Dr. Skander Turki			
Signature:	Date: 07-1437 / 04-2016			